
Index

- academic standards
 - evaluation design and 168
 - professionalisation of a discipline and 85
- accreditation 32, 33, 34
- action research 28, 284
- adaptation to the target group in reporting 337
- AfrEA 56
- Alkin, Christie 30–31, 32–33, 179, 180, 182
- ALLBUS 256
- American Evaluation Association, guiding principles 169–170
- analytical assessment of the evaluation findings 243–244
- anonymity in reporting 338
- answering questions 269
- appendix in tender 131
- applied social research 14
- Armed Conflict Location and Event Data Project (ACLEDD) 77
- Arnstein, S. R. 190
- artificial intelligence 292–293
- assessment
 - assessment-oriented evaluation approaches 32, 33–34
 - criteria 12–13, 14–15
- association rule 241, 242
- audits
 - evaluation and 95–101, 108–110
 - institutions 94
 - introduction 93–95
 - for ISO certification 112
 - offices 96
- The Audit Society* (Power) 83, 95
- automated allocation and data collection 285

- backward mapping 52
- Baltzer, B. 89
- Bamberger, M. 215
- Becker, W. 89
- Befani, B. 316
- benchmarking 83, 110
 - comparison with other approaches 106–108
 - introduction 101–106
 - processes based on KPIs 114
 - in quality management models 112
- box plot 320–322
- BRH (German Federal Court of Audit) 96–97, 98, 99
 - benchmarking 106
 - briefings 340
- Brooks, R. A. 97
- Brunner, E. 313
- Bucuvalas, M. J. 345
- bureaucratic routinisation 87

- calibration of the measurement instruments 236
- Camp, Robert 101, 102
- Campbell, Donald 28
- capacity building measures 171
- case-control design 200, 201
- categorisation of data 318–322
- ‘CATT’ method (computer-assisted telephone interview) 270
- cause-and-effect relationships/causality 114–115, 198, 330–331
 - causal factors 23
 - causal hypotheses 21
 - context factors and 147
 - of evaluation object 23
 - longitudinal design and 205
 - programme theory approaches 30
 - qualitative social research 209
 - studying 209
- Center for System Sciences and Engineering (CSEE) 77
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) 77
- central state management by governments 59
- certification 32
 - of evaluators 87
- CEval (Center for Evaluation) 11
 - context-related nature of 151–153
 - cross-sectional evaluation by 217
 - evaluation approach 38–39, 98, 156–159
 - context-related nature of 151–153
 - data analysis in 159
 - innovation / diffusion model 42–44
 - organisational model 39–41, 43–44
 - stakeholders 156–159
- Chelimsky, Eleanor 97
- chi-square and Cramér’s V 326
- Christie, C. A. 32–33
- CIPP (context, input, process and product) model 30–31
- citizens
 - level of participation of 189–190
 - as stakeholders 154
- Clark, Plano 207

- classical audit 93
- classical division of power 69
- classical utilisation research 345
- classroom surveys 250
- clients
 - intervention in evaluation process 164
 - as stakeholders 154
- code plan 305–306
- codification 313
- coding 237, 274, 304–307
- Cohen, J. 329
- cohort design 200
- communal self-administration 70
- communication
 - design 213–214
 - for participation 191
 - plan 345–347
 - strategy and criticism 168
 - structure 68
- company management 59
- comparison
 - indicators and 225–227
 - of targets and achievements 21
- competency frameworks 172
- competing and complementary approaches to evaluation 83–84
- audit
 - evaluation and 95–101
 - introduction 93–95
- benchmarking
 - comparison with other approaches 106–108
 - introduction 101–106
- controlling
 - introduction 88–91
 - monitoring and 91–92
- institutionalisation of evaluation 84–87
 - development of 87
 - evaluation in the social system 85
 - institutional anchorage 84–85
 - promotion of 85–86
- instruments in quality management and for programme control 110–115
 - synoptic comparison 108–110
- complete consistency check 310
- completeness of report 337
- compliance management system (CMS) 66
- comprehensibility in reporting 337
- comprehensive monitoring 62
- computer-aided presentations 349–350
- conducting evaluations 48
 - goal/impact-oriented 51–54
 - internal/external 49–51
 - standards 54–56
- conflict prevention 187
- constructivism 26, 28
- constructivist-interpretative approach 27
- content selection criteria 259
- Context-Input-Process-Product (CIPP) model 147
- context-related competences 171
- contextual data quality 81–82
- contingency table 317
- continual self-reflection 161
- contradictory methodological consequences 26–27
- control
 - groups in experimental design 192, 194–198
 - tasks of monitoring 64–65
 - through evaluation 24
- controlling
 - introduction 88–91
 - monitoring and 91–92
 - processes based on KPIs 114
- coordination 89
- Copernicus Programme 77
- corporate governance 66
- correlation coefficient 327–330
- Costa Rica, national monitoring systems in 75–76
- costs
 - follow-up 79
 - licence 135
 - personnel 133
 - plan for drawing a tender 131–138
 - running 134
 - travel 134–135, 136
- covariance 328–329
- covert observation 283–284, 286
- COVID-19 pandemic, monitoring systems and 74, 77
- credential evaluator 172–173
- Creswell, J. W. 207
- cross-sectional designs 200, 208
- cross-sectional evaluation
 - by CEval 217
 - design studies 203–205
- cross tables 324–326
- culture and context 146
- culture of learning 167
- customer orientation 110
- DAC Evaluation Quality Standards 162
- data
 - cleansing and error correction 309–310
 - matrices 308
 - mining 292–293
 - preparation 79
 - transfer 308–309
 - visualisation 320–322
- data analysis 311

- in CEval participative evaluation approach 159
- instruments of quantitative data analysis 316–335
- for job market monitoring 73
- methodology of qualitative data analysis 312–316
- for monitoring of agenda 2030 78
- for national monitoring systems 76
- participative monitoring and 68
- plan 123, 129
- procedure, evaluation design and 168
- reporting and 64
- in survey 288
- data collection 64
- designs 185, 204–205
- evaluation design and 168
- forms of data and information procurement 247–253
- group interviews, peer review and Delphi method 275–280, 282
- instrument 272
- introduction 247
- for job market monitoring 73
- methods, selection procedure in 189
- for monitoring of agenda 2030 78
- for national monitoring systems 76
- non-reactive data measurement methods 289–293
- observation methods 282–286, 288
- participative monitoring and 68
- plan 129
- primary 79
- quality of 248–249
- at regional level 72–73
- selection problems in data collection 254–260
- standardised and non-standardised surveys
 - questionnaire and survey procedure 269–274
 - question wording 261–263
 - response behaviour 267–269
 - response options 264–267
- supply chain monitoring and 67
- by text mining 291–292
- data management in evaluation
 - management of qualitative data 296–300
 - management of quantitative data 300–311
- datasets, quality of 81–82
- data sources and instruments
 - monitoring at communal level 69–72
 - monitoring at national level 73–76
 - monitoring at organisational level 65–67
 - monitoring at regional level 72–73
 - monitoring at transnational level 76–78
 - monitoring in network management 67–69
- data to interpretable results 295
- data utilisation
 - for job market monitoring 73
 - for monitoring of agenda 2030 78
 - for national monitoring systems 76
 - supply chain monitoring and 67
- (de)briefings 340
- decision-makers, role in controlling 90
- decision-making
 - budget-relevant 86
 - evaluation and 13
 - monitoring data and 64–65
 - for programme implementation 19
 - public management and 60
- deduction 312
- de Fine Licht, J. 96
- DeGEval 139–142, 163–164
 - fairness standards 168–169
 - recommendations for academic education and training in evaluation 173
 - standards of evaluation 182–183, 220
- degree of professionalisation of evaluation 87
- delegation of power 190
- Delphi method 251
- Delphi survey 278–282
- democratic evaluation 33
- deployment plan 272
- descriptive designs 200
- developmental evaluation 24, 31, 117
- development of the instruments 288
- diffusion research 42–43
- digital databases 292
- diplomacy in reporting 338
- discrepancy evaluation model 32
- dissemination 89–90
- document
 - analysis in non-reactive data collection methods 291
 - retrieval 291
- documentation of the results of the observation 284
- double consistency check 310
- duality of evaluation 16
- durability of effects 15
- economic reductionism 114
- Education Act 53
- educational evaluation models 32–33
- efficiency 15
- empirical knowledge, tools for generating 12
- empirical-scientific methods 26
- Engi, D. 189
- entrepreneurial error culture 90
- epistemological realism 26

- Esser, E. 304
- ethical limitations 195
- ethical standards and evaluation design 168
- ethnocentrist attitudes 161
- European Court of Auditors 93
- European Foundation For Quality Management (EFQM) 111, 112
- European Union 76–77, 80, 86
- European Values Study (EVS 2020) 305–306
- Eurostat 77
- evaluation
- basic knowledge about 12–16
 - benchmarking and 107
 - competence 170–171
 - courses to study professionalisation of 172–173
 - defined 13
 - funders as stakeholders 154
 - goals of 11, 22–25
 - influence 179–180
 - in the ‘life course’ of projects, programmes and strategies 16–22
 - of programmes 45
 - report 352–358
 - results 13
 - societies 172
 - standards 87
 - teams 171
 - as stakeholders 154
 - use of data in monitoring 78–82
- evaluation approaches
- CEval evaluation approach 38–39
 - innovation / diffusion model 42–44
 - organisational model 39–41, 43–44
 - constant change in 26
 - evaluation of sustainability 45–48
 - overview and systematisation 25–34, 38
 - synoptic presentation of 35–37
- evaluation construction kit 130
- evaluation designs 117
- alternative research approaches and designs 209–212
 - development 158–159
 - effects 254
 - experimental and quasi-experimental designs 191–199
 - introduction 184–185
 - observational and correlational designs in evaluation
 - correlative evaluation designs 203–206
 - descriptive evaluation designs 201–202
 - mixed-methods designs in evaluation 206–209
 - observational evaluation designs 201
 - overview of evaluation designs 199–200
 - special features of evaluation designs 213–217, 219–220
 - stakeholder analysis 184–185
 - stakeholder participation 188–191
- Evaluation Globe Project 172–173
- EvalYouth 38
- everyday evaluations 13, 14
- experimental designs 28
- expert interviews 275
- exploratory analysis approach 315
- ex-post analyses 20, 21
- external audits 94
- external environment 40
- external evaluations 49–51
- external evaluators 160–162
- external subsystems (contexts) 152
- external validity 28, 29
- extrapolation factors 257
- extreme value effect 268
- ‘face-to-face’ interview 263
- Federal German Data Protection Act (BDSG) 143
- Federal Statistics Act (BStatG) 70, 74
- feedback 58, 68
- field experiment 193–194, 284
- field work in audit 95
- finance audit 93
- finance auditing 99
- financial administration 86
- Fitzpatrick, J. L. 16, 30, 154
- flip charts for presentation 350
- focus group 251, 279–282
- formative design of evaluation 21
- Forrester, J. W. 212
- ‘4th generation of evaluation’ 92
- Freeman, R. E. 13, 51, 153
- frequency tables 317
- Gantt, Henry Laurence 60
- GDELT Project 80
- Gehrau, V. 282, 284
- General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), European 143
- GENESIS database 79–80
- German Constitution (GG) 70
- ‘German Corporate Governance Code’ 66
- German Evaluation Society (DeGEval) 55–56
- German Federal Foundation for the Environment (DBU) 217
- German General Social Survey 306
- ‘German Supply Chain Due Diligence Act’ (LkSG) 66
- Glicken, J. 189
- Global Evaluation Initiative (GEI) 171
- goal-free evaluation approach 62

- goal-oriented evaluation 31–32, 33, 51–54
 governance 60
 government audit institutions 99–100, 101
 Greene, Jennifer 146, 154, 207
 Grimes, D. A. 202
 grounded theory approach 314–315
 group surveys 250–251, 277
 Guba, Lincoln 29, 31, 312
 guided expert interviews 275
 guiding principles for evaluators 182
- Habersam, M. 92
 halo effect 267, 268
 Hawthorne effect 283
 Healy, K. 322
 Heiken, M. 316
 Hellstern, Wollmann 17
 Henry, G. T. 51
 Herbert, J. L. 179
 hermeneutic analysis procedures 315
 hermeneutic interpretation 244
 Hill, P. B. 304
 Hofmann, G. 13
 Højlund, S. 177, 180
 Hoover Dam construction project 60
 Hörisch, F. 316
 Horváth, P. 90
 House, E. R. 32–33
 Howe, K. R. 32–33
 human bio-monitoring (HBM) 74–75, 77
 ‘hypotheses and assumptions’ 120
 hypothesis tests in evaluation 323–324
- impact evaluation 53–54
 impact hypotheses 120
 impact monitoring 62, 64
 impact-oriented evaluation approach 11
 impact-oriented management 60
 impact-oriented procedure 49
 implementation of programmes 17–18
 evaluation and 20, 21
 implementation research / science 347
 inception report 127
 indicator development 230–231
 indicator maintenance 64
 informal exchange 342, 345
 information extraction 291
 information or audio material 292
 insights, through evaluation 23–24
 institutional anchorage 84–85
 institutionalisation of evaluation 84–87
 development of 87
 evaluation in the social system 85
 institutional anchorage 84–85
 promotion of 85–86
- institutionalised national governance 74
 instrumental function of evaluation research 28
 instruments
 in quality management and for programme
 control 110–115
 quality of 272
 of quantitative data analysis 316–330
 intended effects 20, 32
 interactionist utilisation research 345
 interactive event formats 350–351
 interim report(s) 340
 interim workshop(s) and (de)briefings 340
 internal audits 94
 internal benchmarking 103
 internal environment 40
 internal evaluations 49–51
 evaluators in 159–162
 internally conducted evaluations 127
 internal subsystems (contexts) 152
 internal validity 28
 International Program for Development
 Evaluation Training (IPDET) 171
 International Sociological Association (ISA) 80
 interpretations 285, 329
 of the indicator 230
 interpretative evaluation 210
 interval scales 233
 intervention group in experimental design 147,
 192, 200
 intervention measure 17
 interview, conducting 274
 interviewer
 effects 267
 quality of 272
 introductory questions 270–271
 introspection 282–283
 ISO 9000 111
 ISO certification, audits for 112
- job market monitoring 72, 73
 joint displays 299
- kick-off workshop and inception report 339–340,
 348
 King, J. A. 179, 180, 182
 Kirchhoff, S. 308
 Knaflic, C. N. 322
 knowledge-based decisions 75
 knowledge status of the audience 348
 Korb, C. 316
 KPI-based systems 112
 benchmarking processes based on 114
 Kromrey, H. 14–15
 Kuckartz, U. 296, 299

- legitimation 34
 - through evaluation 24
- licence costs 135
- life-course research 17
- Lincoln, Y. S. 312
- linear regression analysis 333
- Lipsey, M. W. 153
- Literary Digest 259
- L.L. Bean 104
- local contextual conditions 68
- Logframe 148
- logical framework approach 148
- longitudinal designs 200, 205–206

- Mabry, L. 215
- MacDonald, G. 32–33
- machine-controlled data collection methods 252, 285
- machine learning 292–293
- management-oriented evaluation approaches 30–31, 33, 147
- management perspective of enterprises 65
- management response in reporting 342, 347
- Mash, R. J. 202
- matching procedures 334
- Mayo, Elton 283
- Mayring, P. 313
- measurement error 233–234
- measuring
 - assessment and interpretation 243–245
 - indicators 223–231
 - indices and index formation 239–242
 - overview 222–223
 - scales and scaling 232–239
- media as stakeholders 154
- Mertens, Donna 13
- Merton, R. K. 279
- methodological rigour 27–28
- methods intervention designs 207–208
- Meyer, W. 17
- misuse, evaluation 180
- mixed-methods designs 205–206, 209
- mobility behaviour of car-sharing users 290
- Mohr, H.-W. 42–43
- monitoring 50–51, 108
 - of agenda 2030 and sustainable development goals (SDGs) 78
 - concept of 58–61
 - data sources and instruments
 - monitoring at communal level 69–72
 - monitoring at national level 73–76
 - monitoring at organisational level 65–67
 - monitoring at regional level 72–73
 - monitoring at transnational level 76–78
 - monitoring in network management 67–69
 - institutions 73
 - for sustainable urban development 70–71
 - types and process of 61–65
 - use of data in evaluations 78–82
- monitoring and evaluation (M&E)
 - instruments of programmes 18
 - system, implementation of 63
- multi-criteria analysis 245
- multivariate analysis 203, 331–335

- Namibia, monitoring at national level in 74
- narrative conversations 272
- National Planning Commission (NPC), Namibia 74
- national statistical authorities 79
- ‘naturalistic’ data collection methods 31
- Net-Map method 187–188
- network management (participative management) 60
- new management models 86, 114
- nominally scaled data 301
- nominal scales 233
- non-attitudes effect 267, 268
- non-reactive data 249, 297–298
- non-reactive procedures 251, 253
- normative assessment of the evaluation findings 244–245
- NPM 86, 113
 - performance audits in 96, 114
- NPOs 90

- objective hermeneutics 244, 315–316
- object of evaluation 12–13
- observation behaviour 284
- observation field 288
- observation model 288
- observation situation 284
- One Health 68
- ‘ongoing’ or ‘formative’ evaluations 19
- online surveys 250, 269
- open coding 297
- operationalisation 229–230, 278
- operative controlling 89
- oral interviews 269
- oral reporting 347–348
- oral surveys 250
- ordinally scaled data 301
- ordinal scales 233
- organisational competences 171
- organisational context
 - conditions 152
 - of evaluations 180, 181–182
- organisational impact model 39–41

- organisational implementation of evaluations
 - drawing up a tender
 - drawing up a schedule and cost plan 131–138
 - invitation to tender 125–127
 - layout and structure of the tender 127–131
 - planning and preparation 116
 - conception of an evaluation 117–118
 - data collection plan 118–122
 - use of evaluation guidelines 122–123
 - practical implementation and project management 138
 - clarification of the assignment 139–142
 - organisation of the implementation of the evaluation 142–144
- outcome monitoring 51
- output-oriented sustainability 47
- overheads 135
- overt participatory observation 286

- panel design 205
- paper-and-pencil interviews 303
- paradata 302–303
- parliamentarians as stakeholders 154
- partial correlations 331
- participation management 190–191
- participative approaches 31–34
- participative biodiversity monitoring 69
- participative evaluation 286
- participative governance 67
- participative involvement in an evaluation 159
- participative monitoring (PM) 67–69
- participative social justice designs 207
- Patton, Michael 30–31, 147, 179, 345
- Pawson, R. 147
- peer review method 251, 277–279
- performance- and impact-related management 113–114
- performance audits 93–94
 - evaluation vs 95–97
 - in NPM 114
 - planning 94–95
- performance comparisons 102
- performance gap 102
- performance measurement 65
- performance monitoring and activities 60, 64
- performance-oriented sustainability 47
- Perrin, B. 167
- personal ‘face-to-face’ surveys 250
- personnel costs 133–134
- Peters, B. G. 96
- ‘photo quality’ 233–234
- physical-technical measurement instruments 290
- physiological-medical measurement devices 252

- Pierre, J. 96, 101
- pinboards for presentation 350
- Piontek, M. E. 345
- planned evaluation concept 129
- planning, programming and budgeting systems (PPBS) 86
- planning of an audit 94–95
- plausibility check 310
- policy field level monitoring 50–51
- political governance 67
- political process of evaluation 215
- political strategies 16–17
- position effects (halo effect) 267
- positivist conviction 28
- postal surveys 250, 269
- poster for presentation 350
- Power, Michael 83, 95
- predictive policing 71–72
- predictive policing as monitoring 71–72
- presence effects 268
- presentation and visualisation techniques 349–351
- Preskill, H. S. 345
- primary data 79
- primary stakeholder groups 345
- principle of functionality 72
- principle of homogeneity 72
- process benchmarking 105, 107
- processes of comparison 107
- process monitoring 51
- product benchmarking 104–105
- professional standards and/or ethical guidelines 54–56
- Program Evaluation Standards 182
- programme/project management 46–47, 59, 60
 - course of a 17–19
 - evaluation concepts in 19–20
 - evaluation tasks in 21–22
 - funders as stakeholders 154
 - managers/ programme staff as stakeholders 154, 155
 - planning procedures 148
 - recording and assessment of impacts 5–6
- programme theories 17, 21, 30, 33, 148–149, 168
- project and programme level monitoring 51
- project context 186
- provisional final report 341
- Provus, Malcolm 32
- public management 59–60

- QCA (qualitative comparative analysis) 316
- QDA (qualitative data analysis) software 298–300
- qualitative content analysis 313
- qualitative data collection methods 249–250
- qualitative research designs 209–210

- qualitative social research 209
- quality assurance 64
- quality management models 110–111
 - benchmarking in 112
- quality of indicators 230
- quasi-experimental design 194, 196–199, 208, 220
- question effects 267
- questionnaires
 - processing 302–304
 - structure of 270

- Rädiker, S. 296
- random errors 269
- randomisation 192
- random sample errors 254–255
- Rapunzel (organic foods manufacturer) 66
- rating scale 264
- realist evaluation 117
- ‘RealWorld evaluation’ approach 215
- recoding 310
- reconstructive analysis methods 315–316
- references in a tender 130
- regression-to-the-mean effect 268
- ReLAC 56
- reporting
 - after audit 95
 - forms of
 - evaluation report 352–358
 - oral reporting 347–348
 - presentation and visualisation techniques 349–351
 - structure of a presentation 348–349
 - goals and requirement of 336–338
 - integration of reporting in the evaluation process 338
 - final report and support in disseminating the evaluation results 341–342
 - final workshop 341
 - informal exchange 342, 345
 - interim report(s) 340
 - interim workshop(s) and (de)briefings 340
 - kick-off workshop and inception report 339–340
 - management response 342
 - provisional final report 341
 - use of evaluation results and communication plan 345–347
- representation of stakeholders 155
- representativeness 258
- research design 213–214
- response option, types of 265
- responsive model 147
- results-based monitoring 5, 60
- results-oriented management 86
- reverse engineering 104
- rigorous impact evaluations 29, 324
- risks in evaluations 13–14
- Rist, Ray 95, 98
- RNettoAllg 303–304
- Rog, Debra 146
- Rogers, E. M. 42
- Rondinelli, D. A. 17
- Rossi, Chen 13, 30, 51, 153
- running costs 134

- Sanders, Cunningham 32
- Sanders, J. R. 16, 154
- scaling procedures 237
- scatter diagrams 326–327
- Schmidpeter, R. 60
- Schneider, S. L. 60
- Schnell, R. 304
- Schulz, M. 202
- Schumpeter, J. A. 42
- scientific independence 162
- scientific management (modern company management) 60
- Scriven, Michael 32, 33, 62, 154
- search algorithms 292–293
- secondary data 81
- secondary stakeholder groups 345
- selection decisions 254, 288
- selection process 196
- selective consistency check 310
- self-evaluations 49, 160, 219, 283
- self-selections 254, 265
- sequence-analytical methods 315
- Silvestrini, S. 297
- simple random selection 256–257
- single case study in descriptive evaluation designs 201–202
- situational control 195
- social and political context of evaluation
 - benefit and use of evaluations
 - factors that increase benefit 181–182
 - forms of use 176–180
 - usefulness standards 182–183
 - competence requirements for evaluators
 - competence catalogues and teaching / learning goals 172–173
 - multi-faceted requirement profile 170–171
 - context, concept of 145–147
 - context in evaluation approaches and planning procedures 147–149, 151
 - context-related nature of the CEval approach 151–153
 - evaluation as a political process 153–154

- evaluators and stakeholders, relationship
 - between 160–162
- evaluators under pressure
 - attempts to influence the evaluators and patterns of criticism 162–168
 - fairness standards and ethical principles 168–170
- stakeholders
 - CEval participative evaluation approach 156–159
 - definition 154–156
- social competences 171
- social desirability response set 267
- socially desirable answer 268
- social responsibility in the corporate culture 65–66
- Solomon four-group design 194
- ‘SoSci Survey’ 303
- specific evaluation competences 171
- sponsorship effects 268
- SPSS data editor 309
- Stake, Robert 31, 147
- stakeholders 16
 - analysis and evaluation designs 184–185
 - identification 187
 - management 187
 - mapping toolkit 187
 - participation, advantages of 157
 - recognition 186–187
 - relationships between 187
- stakeholder groups
 - analysis of 155
 - reporting and 345–346
- Stame, Nicoletta 95
- standardisation 317–318
 - of the question 263
 - in question programme 278
 - in recording 284
 - in surveys 263, 264
- standards of evaluation 220
- statistical analysis potential 264
- statistical independence 326
- statistical inference 254, 255–259, 322–324
- statistical significance 244
- statistical verifiability of the measurement quality 237
- Stevens, Stanley Smith 300–301
- Stockmann, R. 38, 46, 151, 297
- strategic benchmarking 105
- strategic controlling 89
- strategic management 186
- strategic subject list (SSL) 71
- structured, covert and non-participatory observations 285
- structure of a presentation 348–349
- structuring effect 264
- Stufflebeam, Daniel L. 30–31, 147
- supply chain monitoring 66–67
- surveys
 - for data collection 248–249
 - instruments 250–252
 - result processing through 278
- sustainability model 45–48
 - dimensions of sustainability at macro level 45–46
 - dimensions of sustainability at programme level 46–47
 - dimensions of sustainability at system level 47
 - flexible and appropriate change 47
- sustainability of programmes 18, 19
 - ex-post *evaluations* and 20
- sustainable development goals (SDGs) and monitoring of agenda 2030 78
- systematic criteria 259
- systematic errors 269
- systematisation 34–35, 38
- system dynamics approach 210–212
- systemic perspective 65
- tactical use of evaluation 24–25
- target formulation process 52
- target groups of the evaluation object
 - as stakeholders 154
- technical measuring devices 289–290
- technocratic approach of controlling 90
- technology assessment 290
- telephone surveys 250, 270
- tender, drawing up
 - drawing up a schedule and cost plan 131–138
 - invitation to tender 125–127
 - layout and structure of the tender 127–131
- tertiary stakeholder group 345–346
- text mining 291–293
- theory-driven impact evaluation 52
- third-party observation 282–283
- third-party selections 254
- three-phase design 208
- Tilley, N. 147
- timeliness in reporting 338
- Torres, R. T. 345
- TQM approach 111
- training 68
- transcription 274
- transformative / emancipatory paradigm 28–29
- transparency in reporting 337
- travel costs 134–135, 136
- tree model of 2013 32
- trend design 205
- t-test as an example of a significance test 324

Tukey, John W. 320–321
Tyler, Ralph W. 31–32

unforeseeable events in evaluation 144
unintended consequences 53
unintended effects 15, 20, 21, 23, 62
univariate analysis 317
university cooperation scheme 38
urban criminality prevention 71–72
urban development, monitoring for sustainable
70–71
usefulness of evaluation 16
users, evaluation 23
'Utilisation-Focused Evaluation' approach 117

value neutrality 27
variable evaluation qualities 87
Vedung, Evert 14
vocational competences 170
Völkl, K 316

voluntary organisations for professional
evaluation (VOPEs) 85, 87

Wallraff, Günther 286
Wang, Strong 81, 82
weighted index 241
weighting factors 257
Weischer, C. 282, 284
Weiss, C. H. 12, 147, 345
Wilke, C. O. 322
Wollmann, H. 90–91
World Bank tool 187–188
World Café method 351
Worthen, B. R. 16, 154
written reporting 347
written surveys 250, 263, 269–270

Xerox, case study 104

'Yea-sayer effect' (tendency to agree) 268, 269

Zapf, Wolfgang 42